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Abstract

The study assessed farmers’ awareness and adoption of maize production technologies in Punjab Province, Pakistan. A simple random sampling technique was used to collect data from 120 farmers in the study area through personal interview using a structured interview schedule. The study found that 48.3% of the respondents had obtained information about agricultural practices from extension agents and that 65% of the respondents regularly visited the agricultural extension department. Furthermore, 62.5% of the respondents had a high awareness about improved maize cultivation practices and 53% had already adopted the techniques. Farmers who visited the agricultural extension department had a higher awareness and were more likely to adopt improved maize cultivation practices compared with farmers who did not visit the agricultural extension department. Farmers’ education level, cultivated area, and whether they visited the extension department were the key determinants of adoption of new technologies. Government policies in the province should aim to improve methods of extension delivery for the implementation of effective farming practices in small-scale farming systems.
Governments should also formulate recommendations, which are easily understandable by illiterate or poorly-educated farmers and should support the formation of groups to facilitate information transfer.
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Introduction

Agriculture is the major source of foreign exchange earnings in Pakistan and is a key economic sector, also providing raw materials to the main industrial regions of the country. Agriculture accounts for 19.8% of the country’s gross domestic product (GDP) and employs the largest proportion of the country’s total labour force by a large margin (Government of Pakistan, 2016). Maize plays an important role in the economic development of Pakistan. Maize (Zea mays L) is the third-most important crop after wheat and rice and its production contributed to approximately 0.4% of the GDP and 2.1% of the total profits of country-wide agriculture (Shah et al., 2014). The average productivity in maize cultivation is 2,850 kg/ha, the highest among all cereals grown in the country in 2014. The bulk (99%) of total maize production primarily comes from two provinces: North-West Frontier Province (NWFP) and Punjab (Rehman et al., 2015).

The effective communication of agricultural techniques is one of the most important processes in agricultural development (Aziz et al., 2015). Novel agricultural technologies provide a potential means for farmers to increase their yields and, subsequently, their overall income and standards of living (Mudombi, 2013). Creating awareness and encouraging the adoption of novel agricultural practices among farmers and stimulating their interest are basic essentials for accelerating the nationwide implementation of new agricultural techniques. The increasing rate of adoption of innovations is affected by number of factors include socioeconomic characteristics and economic, technological, and institutional factors (Mwangi & Kariuki, 2015). Agricultural extension in Pakistan contributes to increases in agricultural productivity by educating growers about improved agricultural practices: proper seed requirements, timely sowing, the balanced and efficient use of fertilizer, the efficient use of irrigation methods, pesticide use, effective crop management, harvesting, threshing, storage, and marketing by the implementation of training sessions and different individual, group, and mass extension methods (Shahbaz & Ata, 2014).

According to Pakistan Business Council (2018), the potential agricultural productivity is far lower than the highest average production values of other countries with 35%-52% for crops such as maize, cotton, wheat, and sugarcane. The low national crop yield reflects the technological gaps that exist in maize production among farmers. Limited systematic efforts have been made to assess the underlying causes behind the low productivity of maize in Pakistan. On the basis of this background, the current study aimed to understand farmers’ awareness concerning novel agricultural practices and their attitude towards the adoption of recommended practices in Pakistan. The specific objectives of the study were to assess awareness...
and adoption of maize farmers concerning novel agricultural technologies and to determine which factors influenced farmers’ decisions to either adopt or not adopt novel practices.

Methodology

Quantitative research was conducted in the Jhang District, Punjab (31.1471° N, 75.3412° E). In 1998, according to a Pakistan census, the population of the district was 2.8 million, of which only 23% lived in urban areas. The district covers an area of 8,809 km², and almost all of the land in the Jhang District is rocky in nature. Tehsil Jhang is a subdivision (Tehsil) of the Jhang District. Tehsil Jhang consists of 55 Union Councils (UCs). Fifteen unions are urban and 40 are rural. Six rural union councils were randomly selected to represent the population of maize farmers in the study area. Each union council contained approximately 6 villages, and one village was randomly selected from each UC. The villages included in the survey were; Bagh Wala, Rodu Sultan, Khewa, Peer Kot Sadhana, Ballo Shahabal, and Pakkay Wala. The combined populations of the six villages included around 1200 farmers (Agricultural Directorate of Punjab, 2017). A subset of 120 farmers (10% of all farmers in the region) were randomly selected to participate in the survey.

An interview schedule was developed, tested, and validated. Questions were presented in the appropriate local languages for the convenience of interviewees to ensure accurate answers to the survey questions. The validity and reliability of the interview schedule was tested on 20 respondents prior to the survey. Preliminary testing identified necessary amendments to the survey which were made prior to the survey period. The reliability of the attitude scale was tested using Cronbach’s Alpha test (α = 0.81). The index of improved maize technologies consisted of 26 practices classified into 10 categories: land preparation, sowing, seeds, irrigation, intercultural practices, chemical fertilizers, plant protection measures, diseases, weedicides, and harvesting methods. Technologies listed in the survey were selected from the latest extension pamphlets provided to maize farmers in Pakistan.

Variables including farmers’ age, education level, area of owned land, area of land cultivated, and farming experience, were used as independent variables. The awareness and adoption of agricultural technologies by the respondents were used as dependent variables. The measurement scale of awareness was (high and low), while the measurement scale used for adoption was (adopters and non-adopters). The data were analysed using Statistical Product and Service Solution (SPSS) ver.22. Frequencies, percentages, and arithmetic mean were used to describe data and presenting the results. Moreover, a binary logit regression model was used to determine the factors influencing the farmers’ technological awareness and adoption. The list of explanatory variables used in the model are presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Definition of variables included in the awareness and adoption models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependent variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption</td>
<td>Adoption of maize cultivation practices</td>
<td>1 = adoption, 0 = non-adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness</td>
<td>Awareness of maize cultivation practices</td>
<td>1 = high awareness, 0 = low or no awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanatory variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age of respondent</td>
<td>Age of the farmer in years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Level of education (dummy)</td>
<td>1 = secondary school education or above, 0 = other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming experience</td>
<td>Farming experience of respondent</td>
<td>Years of farming experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated area</td>
<td>Area of land used in cultivation</td>
<td>Area cultivated in acres *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land area</td>
<td>Size of farm (owned, rented, or shared)</td>
<td>Area owned in acres *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction with extension agents</td>
<td>Frequency of farmers' visits to/ by extension agents</td>
<td>% of respondents who responded with (yes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 1 Acre = 4046 m² (0.4 hectares).

Results and Discussion

Extension Contacts

Figure 2 shows data on the respondents' participation in agricultural extension programmes. Around half (48.3%) of the respondents had received information concerning maize cultivation practices from extension agents, while 31.7% of the respondents obtained information from progressive farmers. This means that a large proportion of the farmers still acquires knowledge from informal resources. The success of extension services depends on the ability of extension agents to transfer technological information and recommendations to farmers. In this context, the study found that extension agents visited farmers fortnightly (93.3%) (Figure 3).
As shown in Figure 4, 65% of the respondents visited agricultural extension departments. The frequent use of agricultural extension departments by local farmers may be a significant and positive influence on the technological awareness and adoption of maize cultivation practices.
Figure 4: Number of respondents who frequently visited the extension department.

Extension agencies play a key role in promoting the adoption of agricultural technologies and changing the knowledge, attitudes, and skills of local farmers (Al-Zahrani et al., 2016). Moreover, agricultural extension aids in the spreading of awareness and the sharing of knowledge among different partners in the agricultural sector (Ngaka & Zwane, 2017). In the present study, the frequency of farmers’ contact with extension services was similar to that reported by another study conducted by Shah, Asmuni, and Ismail (2013) in Malaysia. The same study also found that the majority of the respondents contacted extension services fortnightly. Visiting extension services facilitates the transfer and exchange of information that can be converted into functional knowledge, which in turn promotes crop productivity (Baiyegunhi, Majokweni, and Ferrer, 2019; Baloch & Thapa, 2018).

Awareness and Adoption of Improved Maize Cultivation Practices

Figure 5 shows the percentage of respondents who were highly aware of the listed improved maize cultivation practices and the percentage who had adopted the practices at the time of the survey. A total of 46.4% of the respondents were aware of land preparation practices, but only 41.6% of the respondents had adopted. More than half (54.4%) of the respondents were aware of sowing practices, but only 49.1% had adopted. More also, many (79.2%) of the respondents had adopted seed-based practices, with 91.9% of the respondents aware. Considering irrigation practices, 76% were aware of irrigation techniques, while 73.7% adopted. Approximately 57% of the respondents reported that they were aware of inter-cultural practices, but only 54.5% had adopted. Regarding chemical fertilizers, 77.9% of the respondents were aware of the use, while only 72.2% adopted. The overwhelming of the respondents (95%) were highly aware about pest control, and 90% of them adopted these practices. In the same vein, the vast the majority of the respondents (93.3%) were highly aware about disease control, but 81.3% of them adopted these practices.
Furthermore, 98.8% of the respondents were highly aware about weed control practices, but 91.3% adopted these practices. All farmers (100%) were aware of harvesting practices, but only 82.1% of the respondents had adopted.

The results demonstrate variability in farmers’ awareness and adoption of improved maize cultivation practices. The results are consistent with those of Mango et al. (2017), who found that the awareness and adoption of water and conservation practices in South Africa varied between specific practices and that adopters could be classified into early adopters and laggards depending on the time of their adoption. Accordingly, agricultural extension agents could benefit from farmers experienced in a variety of agricultural practices to facilitate farmer-to-farmer learning, mobilization of knowledge, and the formation of effective information networks (Susaeta et al., 2018).

![Figure 5: Awareness and adoption of maize cultivation practices.](image)

**Factors Influencing Farmer Awareness and Adoption**

Table 4 shows the results of logistic regression analyses using the factors affecting the awareness and the adoption of improved maize cultivation practices by local farmers. Personal attributes (i.e. age, education, land area, cultivated area, farming experience) and whether farmers visited the agricultural extension department were hypothesized to influence their awareness and adoption behaviours. Three out of the six variables used in the adoption model were statistically significant at $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$ levels, while no significant variables were found in the awareness model. This result could partly be explained by the high overall awareness of farmers (Figure 5). The observed chi-squared value of 74.8 indicates that the likelihood ratio statistics are highly significant ($P < 0.01$) and that the adoption model has a strong
explanatory power. The Nagerkeke $R^2$ value was 0.38, indicating that the explanatory variables explained approximately 38% of the variation in farmers’ adoption habits.

The educational status of the respondents significantly influenced the likelihood that they adopted improved maize cultivation practices. Specifically, a one-year increase in formal education is associated with a 19% increase in the chance a farmer will adopt recommended maize cultivation practices. The results also show that a 4-acre increase in cultivated area size increases the odds of adoption of improved maize cultivation practices by 42%. In addition, whether farmers had visited the extension department was also a significant determinant of adoption. The likelihood of practice adoption was found to be 2.11% greater for farmers who had visited the extension department. This result implies that extension recommendations play an essential role in modernizing agricultural practices. The level of education of the farmers was one of the socio-economic attributes that significantly influenced farmers’ adoption of agricultural practices. A probable reason for this is that educated farmers are more willing to seek new information and are more capable in processing and evaluating its impact on crop productivity. The results are consistent with those of previous studies (Danso-Abbeam et al., 2017; Kadafur et al., 2017; Mmbando & Baiyegunhi, 2016; Salifu & Salifu, 2015).

Another personal attribute that was associated with the adoption of agricultural practices by farmers was the area under cultivation. Larger size of farm was associated with farmers being more likely to adopt the maize technologies, in comparison to the group of farmers with a smaller farm size. This could be because farming in larger pieces of land encourage farmers to accept the risks and test the new practices and technologies. Moreover, farmers who cultivate larger areas can better take advantage of market opportunities and can thus increase their income to a greater extent. These findings are similar to those of previous studies (Danso-Abbeam et al., 2017; Fadare Fadare, Akerele, and Toritseju, 2014, Issa, Kagbu, and Abdulkadir, 2016; Kadafur et al., 2017). The study found that access to extension services was a significant determinant of adoption of recommended practices. Farmers may have the ability to observe the positive effects of recommended practices by comparing them with traditional practices. According to Gebrehiwot (2017), the reason behind the low adoption of novel cultivation practices was the lack of contact of farmers with extension agents. The association between farmers and extension service use could facilitate the identification of the real needs of farmers (Shah, Asmuni, and Ismail, 2013). This finding is supported by the results of previous studies (Ademiluyi, 2014; Danso-Abbeam et al.,2017; Fadare, Akerele, and Toritseju, 2014; Kadafur et al., 2017).
Table 4: Factors influencing farmers’ awareness and adoption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explanatory variables</th>
<th>Awareness Model</th>
<th>Adoption Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land area (acres)</td>
<td>-1.25</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated area</td>
<td>-1.78</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming experience</td>
<td>-1.85</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting extension agent (% yes)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2log likelihood = 54.8, chi-square = 8.8, probability (chi-square) = 0.22, Nagerkeke R² = 0.09
2log likelihood = 233.1, chi-square = 74.8, probability (chi-square) = 0.00, Nagerkeke R² = 0.38

* P ≤ 0.05, ** P ≤ 0.01

Conclusion and Recommendations

Education, cultivated area, and visit extension services influenced farmers’ adoption of agricultural practices. Extension plays a key role in the adoption of agricultural practices by maize farmers, and more attention should be paid to the development of better methods of information delivery. Furthermore, the results suggest that any extension programme providing information concerning maize cultivation in the study area should recognize the heterogeneity in farmers’ personal attributes and farm-specific characteristics. On the basis of the empirical findings, the study recommends that the contact between farmers and extension agents should be strengthened, facilitating the training of progressive farmers on new cultivation practices. It is also necessary to improve the frequency and availability of contact between extension agents and farmers. This can be achieved via the implementation of more innovative ways of communication (e.g., information and communication technology (ICT), FM radio, television, and agricultural journalism) in the region.
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